







## PROJECT DOCUMENT

| Project Title:  | Minamata Initial Assessment for Albania  |
|---|--|
| UNDP Strategic Plan:<br>Integrated Results and<br>Resources Framework                                     | Outcome 1 - Growth and development are inclusive and sustainable, incorporating productive capacities that create employment and livelihoods for the poor and excluded  Output 1.3: Solutions developed at national and sub-national levels for sustainable management of natural resources, ecosystem services, chemicals and waste                     |
| Government of Albania and United Nations Programme of Cooperation (2012-2016):  Outcome(s) and Output(s): | Outcome 3: Governance and rule of law - The Albanian State executes major governance processes following internationally agreed democratic principles and practices, while upholding the rule of law and eliminating key factors of exclusion of women  Output 3.4: Line Ministries ensure and enforce the conservation, sustainable use of public goods |
| Executing Entity/Implementing Partner:  | Ministry of Environment (MoE)  |

## **Brief Description**

The project will support the government of Albania through the Ministry of Environment to undertake an initial mercury assessment to identify the national mercury challenges and the extent to which legal, policy and regulatory framework will enable Albania to implement future obligations under the Minamata Convention.

| Programme Period:       | 2016-2020    |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Atlas Award ID:         | 00089215     |
| Project ID:             | 00095563     |
| PIMS #                  | 5639         |
| Start date:             | January 2016 |
| End Date                | January 2018 |
| Management Arrangements | NIM          |
| PAC Meeting Date        | 25 Sept 2015 |

| To | tal resources required   | USD 200,000 |
|----|--------------------------|-------------|
| To | tal allocated resources: | USD 200,000 |
| 0  | Regular<br>Other:        |             |
|    | o GEF                    | USD 200,000 |
|    |                          |             |











| Wilnameta Intital Accessment, for Albania   |
|---|
| Outcome 1 - Growth and development are Inclusive and sustainable, incorporating productive capacities that create employment and livelihopely for the poor and excluded Outgan 3.3: Saludons developed at national and sub-national levels for sustainable management of natural resources ecosystem services, chemicals and waste                          |
| Cultame 3. Governonce bild rule of law - The Albanian State executes major povernonce processes following internationally agreed democratic principles and practices, while usholding the rule of law and eliminating key factors of exclusion of avamen.  Cultant 3.6: Une Ministries ansure and enforce the conservation, systaliable use of public goods |
| Ministry of Engleoningol (Mac)  |

undertake an initial inercuty assessment to identify the national marcury challenges and the



## Agreed by the Ministry of Environment: H.E. Mr. Lefter Koka, Minister

Date/Month/Year

Agreed by UNDP Albania: Yesim Oruc, Country Director

Date/Month/Year



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| Situation Analysis        | 4                             |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                           | Error! Bookmark not defined.  |
|                           | Error! Bookmark not defined.  |
| Total Budget and Workplan | Error! Bookmark not defined.0 |
| Management Arrangements   |                               |
| Monitoring and Evaluation | 18                            |
| Legal Context             | 23                            |
|                           | 24                            |

### 1. SITUATION ANALYSIS

Albania became a signatory to the Minamata Convention on 9 October 2014 and it has been also actively participating in the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee on Mercury.

During the past 10 years the Ministry of Environment (MoE) has been engaged in policy dialogues involving potential donors in prioritizing interventions to address the problematics of inherited industrial pollution. During 2009 – 2012 the government of Albania with financial support provided by the Dutch Government and UNDP has conducted Identification and prioritization of historic industrial pollution hotspots, including those contaminated by Mercury and also conducted rehabilitation works with the following results:

- Preliminary site investigation of 35 potential hotspot sites conducted, followed by prioritisation and selection of a short list of 14 sites for more detailed evaluation.
- For each of the 14 selected priority sites, was prepared: Remediation action plans; Environmental impact assessments for the remediation process; Cost estimates for remediation of each site (either individually or in clusters to allow for maximum cost effectiveness).
- -Remediation and clean-up works were completed the following sites: Bajza railway station; Balez chemical storehouse; Reps and Rreshen mine tailings (supported by the Dutch Government and UNDP). In addition through other interventions supported by the European Union and the World Bank two more areas were rehabilitated: Porto-Romano hotspot (supported by the Dutch Government and the World Bank) and Chlor-alkali plant in Vlora (supported by the European Union).

In 2013, the Government of Albania updated its National Chemicals Management Profile, including aspects related to Mercury, with the support of UNITAR the activity was implemented by the Public Health Institute in cooperation with a wide area of stakeholders.

Currently, the Government of Albania is in the process of transposing European Union legislation related to the management of chemicals, (such as REACH, CLP, POP Regulation, Import-export regulation) into its national legislative framework. This process is expected to be finalized by the end of 2015.

## 2. STRATEGY

The project is funded by the GEF Enabling Activities and the project framework, including envisaged activities, are entirely in line with the GEF Initial Guidelines for Enabling Activities for the Minamata Convention on Mercury (GEF/C.45/Inf.05).

### Project Objective:

The project's objective is to undertake a Mercury Initial Assessment to enable the Government of Albania to determine the national requirements and needs for ratification of the Minamata

Convention and establish a sound foundation to undertake future work towards the implementation of the Convention. It will do so by implementing four components as specified in the GEF guidelines (GEF/C.45/Inf.05 paragraph 19), as well as a fifth component on mainstreaming:

- 1. Undertake an assessment of legislation and policies in regard to the implementation of Convention provisions of:
- Article 3;
- Article 5;
- Article 7 (including legislation and policy to cover formalization, worker health and safety);
- Article 8 (specifically in regard to relevant national air pollution/emission standards and regulations);
- Article 9 (specifically in regard to the ability to identify and categorize sources of releases).

The policy and legislative assessment will be undertaken through a review of existing legislation on chemicals management and identification of the gaps prevalent in association to issues of mercury. In addition the legislation review will assess the necessary steps for the establishment of a National Mercury Coordination/Consultation Mechanism.

- 2. Undertake an initial assessment of Mercury in the following categories:
- Stocks of mercury and/or mercury compounds and import and export procedures including an assessment of the storage conditions;
- Supply of mercury, including sources, recycling activities and quantities;
- Sectors that use mercury and the amount per year, including manufacturing processes, ASGM and mercury added products;
- Trade in mercury and mercury containing compounds.
- 3. Identify:
- Emission sources of mercury;
- Release sources of mercury to land and water.
- 4. Assess institutional and capacity needs to implement the Convention.

Institutional capacity of governmental institutions and agencies will be assessed to determine the capacity needs and gaps that exist for the implementation of the Convention and propose intervention to strengthen these institutions and capacity. The assessment will also review the systems needed to report to the Convention under article 21.

The institutional capacity gaps identified and the findings of the legislation and policy review will used to formulate a number of priority actions, which will be included in the Mercury Initial Assessment Report. Proposed actions will be discussed and agreed upon among the key stakeholders mentioned above through several rounds of discussions.

- 5. Mainstream national Mercury priorities in national policies and plans to raise the importance of Hg priority interventions:
- · Identify national mercury priorities;
- Assess opportunities for mainstreaming Hg priorities;
- Mainstream Hg priority interventions in relevant policies/plans.

### Key Stakeholders

The key stakeholders involved in the project are the following:

Ministry of Environment: Responsible for issuing environmental permits for industrial activities and for the evaluation, testing and control of substances and preparations possessing class 15 properties (hazardous for the environment). By law, the MoE, through the Chemicals Registration Office, is required to register applications for import and export of substances and certain hazardous preparations. The MoE has the authority for the control of all activities that might have potential environmental impacts and has the mandate to enforce legal sanctions through the Environmental Inspectorate.

Ministry of Finance: Responsible for obtaining and allocating resources necessary for state institutions to provide services to all citizens, women and men, girls and boys, in accordance with the priorities of the public policy. In the area of customs, the Ministry of Finance's role is to establish regulations and controls, and ensure the monitoring of all imports and exports of products (including those containing chemicals).

Ministry of Health and Public Health Institute: Responsible for the development and implementation of health policies. Assumes responsibilities related to monitoring, control, regulation and standardization. In addition, the Ministry of Health registers medical devices and monitors companies that import, manufacture, distribute and/or store medical equipment and devices. The Ministry is also responsible for the registration and authorization of biocidal products.

It is important that the gender focal points of the three above Ministries are involved at the early stages of the process to ensure integration of gender considerations throughout the project.

Local Government: Regulates and supervises waste management in municipalities/districts/councils. Responsible for hazardous waste storage and disposal.

Private Sector: Services providers involved in waste collection, disposal and treatment; Laboratories for testing and certification; etc.

Civil Society Organizations and Non-Governmental Organizations (CSOs/NGOs including women NGOs): Will be engaged in the project to help required and important information on the impact on environment and women and men health and concerns of mercury releases and

accumulation in the environment reach local communities at risk, the general public and decision makers.

### **Gender Dimensions**

Generally, two groups are more sensitive to the effects of mercury fetuses and women and men who are regularly exposed (chronic exposure) to high levels of mercury (such as populations that rely on subsistence fishing or women and men who are occupationally exposed). As Mercury is passed on from mother to child, and fetuses and children are most susceptible to developmental effects due to mercury. The MIA will pay particular attention to assessing national capacity to keep such risk groups safe. Specific gender indicators will be identified and recommendations on how to improve gender dimensions and gender mainstreaming related to Mercury, and priorities actions in this area will be highlighted in the MIA report.

## 3. PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK:

This project will contribute to achieving the following Country Programme Outcome as defined in CPAP or CPD: Outcome 3: Governance and rule of law - The Albanian State executes major governance processes following internationally agreed democratic principles and practices, while upholding the rule of law and eliminating key factors of exclusion of women Output 3.4: Line Ministries ensure and enforce the conservation, sustainable use of public goods Primary applicable Key Environment and Sustainable Development Key Result Area (same as that on the cover page, circle one): Support enabling activities and promote their integration into national budgets, planning processes, national and sectoral policies and actions, and global monitoring

Applicable GEF Expected Outcomes: Outcome 2.1: Countries have undertaken Minamata Convention initial assessments activities and ratified the Minamata Convention Applicable GEF Strategic Objective and Program: Develop the enabling conditions, tools and environment to manage harmful chemicals and wastes

|                                | Indicator                              | Baseline                             | Targets<br>End of Project                          | Source of verification   | Risks and Assumptions  |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Objective <sup>1</sup> |  |                                      |  |  |  |
| Undertake a Mercury            | <ul> <li>Number and quality</li> </ul> | No previous                          | <ul> <li>Mercury assessment for Albania</li> </ul> | <ul><li>Project</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>Risk: Change of the Government stand</li> </ul>   |
| Initial Assessment to          | of Initial assessment                  | Minamata                             | completed, public and key                          | implementation and   | towards Convention ratification  |
| enable the Government          | activities that will                   | assessment on                        | stakeholder consultations held,                    | technical reports  |  |
| of Albania to determine        | contribute to                          | mercury                              | and enabling environment for                       | <ul> <li>Ministry of</li> </ul>  | - Assumption: Government agencies will   |
| the national                   | Mercury assessment                     | prepared at                          | ratification of the Minamata                       | Environment reports  | provide access to priority data and  |
| requirements and needs         |  | national level                       | convention established and                         | +0 GEE   | analysis.  |
| for ratification of the        | <ul> <li>Mechanisms</li> </ul>         | <ul> <li>Lack of accurate</li> </ul> | supported.   | IO GEL   | Assumption: Government and non-  |
| Minamata Convention            | introduced to support                  | knowledge on                         |  | <ul> <li>Ministry of Foreign</li> </ul>  |  |
| and establish a sound          | ratification of the                    | mercury sources,                     |  | Affairs reports  | governmental project parmies will be   |
| foundation to undertake        | Minamata                               | releases, and                        |  |  | actively engaged in the project  |
| future work towards the        |  | existing                             |  | の地では、日   | ria mai  |
| implementation of the          |  | contamination                        |  |  | internation of the second  |
| Convention.                    |  | situation                            |  |  |  |
|                                |  | Lack of                              |  | 25 AV  | tin by   |
|                                |  | information on                       |  |  | 57<br>Sau  |
|                                |  | Minamata                             |  | 16   | nin<br>om<br>on<br>on<br>on  |
|                                |  | convention's                         |  | in de la constant de  | 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1   |
|                                |  | provisions and                       |  | tio<br>sin   | leri<br>V  |
|                                |  | requirements                         |  |  |  |
|                                |  | Limited                              |  |  | o de la companya de l |
|                                |  | preparedness to                      |  | 1000   |  |
|                                |  | ratify the                           |  |  |  |
|                                |  | convention and                       |  | oli<br>be  |  |
|                                |  | implement its                        |  |  | ioi<br>ink<br>ink<br>is<br>is  |
|                                |  | provisions                           |  | of the last of the | is a significant of the signific |

Objective (Atlas output) monitored quarterly ERBM and annually in APR/PIR

| Minamata  Minamata  Minamata  Minamata  Mocommitment  Mocommitment  Mocomment  Mocomment | Outcome 12        | Degree to which          | No public           |   | Key stakeholders from public,                         | • Workshop                              | Risk: Change of the Government stand     ********************************* |  |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Instruments including Minamata process instruments including Minamata provisions and decision making respond/comply to the process of managed in treatments including for ratification and the process of managed in treased and public convention and from the Minamata convention on process contribute to the Mercury profile are whose contribute to the Mercury profile implications of expositions and financial mercury profile implications of export derivative and submitted implications of expositions and financial mercury programs reports and financial mercury profile implications of exposition and financial evaluation reports treatment on priority action and financial interventions and and financial interventions and and financial interventions and and financial interventions and and financial intervention an | nvironment for    | policy and regulatory    | consultations       |   | private sectors and NGOs                              | agendas/reports                         | towards Convention ratification  |  |
| institutional capacity respond/comply to No commitment for ratification of the Minamata provisions  I bor convention  I bor file legislative acts has been a stiffication plans convention  I convention  I convention  I conducted to activities that will activities that will  I to Mercury Mercury assessment  I won antional  I won antional  I won antional  I won consultations of exposure to and financial  I resources for interview by the resources for intervention held I won on priority action and financial  I resources for intervention and financial I resources for interventions I r | naking on the     | tramework, and           | neld on the         |   | engaged in the consultation                           |   |  |  |
| respond/comply to its provisions in the provisions and decision making in the Minamata provisions in the Minamata provisions in the Minamata convention in the Minamata activities that will date with regard inventions on socio-economic contribute to Mercury profile inventions on socio-economic inventions of the Minamata inventions of the Minamata inventions of the Minamata inventions of the Minamata contribute to Mercury profile inventions on socio-economic inventions of the Minamata inventions of the M | n of Minamata     | institutional capacity   | Convention and      |   | National awareness on Minamata                        | record                                  |  |  |
| for ratification of the Minamata convention the Minamata convention to planned by the Minamata convention the Minamata convention planned by the Government convention the Minamata convention planned by the Government convention of the Minamata convention the Minamata convention of the Minamata convention to Morcury profile and seasoned inspiratory profile and socio—economic implications of exposure to moreour and final evaluation so feet mediation costs and financial resources for intervention held interventions of exposure to moreour additional and final financial resources for intervention held intervention and final financial resources for intervention held intervention for priority action and financial resources for interventions of exposure to moreour stakeholders, and submitted intervention stakeholders, and submitted intervention stakeholders and submitted intervention stakeholders and submitted interventions of exposure to moreour submitted intervention stakeholders and submitte |                   | respond/comply to        | its provisions      |   | provisions and decision making                        |   |  |  |
| the Minamata convention draft legislative acts has been planned by the convention planned by the convention planned by the created to ensure faster artification of the Minamata convention of initial assessment activities that will contribute to Mercury profile activities that will methories reports insues, inventories reconcibute to Mercury profile and Assessment implications of mercury profile and Assessment implications of prepared mercury prepared and financial resources for intervention held more on priority action of priority activities that will regard and reviewed by agendas/reports reports of prepared and financial resources for intervention held more on priority action and financial resources for intervention more proports and submitted and financial resources for intervention and financial resources for UN depositary for each of UN depositary for intervention and financial resources for intervention and financial resources for UN depositary for each of UN deposita |                   | Militarillata provisions | for ratification of |   | consultations supported                               | <ul> <li>Draft legal acts on</li> </ul> |  |  |
| rofile a Number and quality convention draft legislative acts has been glanned by the created to ensure faster and/or interviews convention of initial assessment conducted to sources completed activities that will to Mercury profile and sessment inventories resources for intervention held Mercury profile and socio-economic economic convention and socio-economic implications, product convention to Mercury profile and sessment inventories resources for intervention held Mercury profile and Assessment and socio-economic economic economic economic implications of resources for intervention held mercury profile and sessment inventories and socio-economic economic ec |                   |                          | the Minamata        |   | Supporting environment with                           | ratification plans                      |  |  |
| planned by the created to ensure Tastification of the Minamata and/or interviews convention  **Number and quality of initial inventory of mercury of initial assessment conducted to activities that will to Mercury assessment inventories issues, and socio- contribute to Mercury profile and socio- implications of economic implications economic implicat |                   |                          | convention          |   | draft legislative acts has been                       |   |  |  |
| rofile and quality in No assessment activities that will to Mercury assessment inventories reconducted to activities that will to Mercury assessment inventories reconomic implications of an and sociolar activities that will to Mercury assessment inventories resources for intervention held Mercury profile and Assessment implications of reports of intervention held and sociolar activity action and financial inspirations of resources for intervention held inspirations of report drifted and reviewed by agendas/reports stakeholders, and submitted inspirations of resources for intervention held inspirations of reports of intervention held inspirations of report drifted and reviewed by agendas/reports stakeholders, and submitted inspiration and financial resources for interventions  |                   | 00050                    | Government          |   | created to ensure faster ratification of the Minamata | questionnaires                          |  |  |
| No assessment conducted to activities that will conducted to activities that will date with regard contribute to issues, inventories remediation costs and financial mercury profile and seesanent inventories resources for intervention held Mercury profile and seesanent inventories reports and submitted implications on socio-economic implications on socio-economic implications on socio-economic implications of stakeholders, and submitted implications on on priority action and financial interventions of interventions of interventions and submitted interventions and financial interventions and financial interventions of interventions of interventions and financial interventions of interventions of interventions and financial interventions and financial interventions are stakeholders, and submitted interventions and financial interventions of interventions and financial interventions.   |                   |                          |                     |   | convention  | and/or interviews                       |  |  |
| Initial inventory of mercury of initial assessment conducted to activities that will conducted to activities that will to Mercury assessment issues, inventories   No national mercury prepared mercury prepared mercury prepared mercury properties   No national mercury prepared mercury properties   No national mercury prepared mercury profile and Assessment mediations of stakeholders, and submitted mercury profile and Assessment mercury prepared mercury profile and submitted mercury prepared mercury prepared mon priority action and financial mercury prepared mercury prepared mon priority action and financial mercury mercury mercury prepared mercury prepared mercury prepared mercury prepared mon priority action and financial mesources for interventions intervention interventions intervention   |                   |                          | ATRIO THE           |   | 600,6   |   |  |  |
| rofile and quality conducted to activities that will date with regard contribute to issues, inventories and sociolar eports activities that will date with regard contribute to issues, inventories remediation costs and financial and sociolar eport drafted and reviewed by and sociolar eport drafted and reviewed by prepared monomic implications of exposure to mercury profile and submitted exposition and financial evaluation reports activities that will date with regard and reviewed by action and financial evaluation reports activities that will financial evaluation or financial evaluation of financial evaluation or financial evaluations of  |                   |                          | MANUAL PROPERTY.    |   | 190,341 F. 191,010                                    | Independent mid-                        |  |  |
| of Initial assessment conducted to conducted to date with regard activities that will conducted to contribute to date with regard contribute to date with regard contribute to date with regard inventory sasessment inventories remediation costs and financial mercury profile and socio-economic mercury proper economic implications of economic mercury prepared and financial resources for interventions activities from the financial resources for activities activities from the foreign activities from the financial resourc |                   |                          | 7130a DE-           |   | Mark and Market State                                 | term and final<br>evaluation reports    |  |  |
| activities that will date with regard activities that will date with regard activities that will date with regard activities that will conducted to missues,  Mercury assessment issues,  Mercury assessment inventories remediation costs and financial monor priority and sociolations of mercury prepared monor priority action and financial resources for interventions of mercury profile and reviewed by prepared monor priority action and financial resources for interventions of mercury profile and reviewed by prepared and financial resources for interventions of mercury profile and financial resources for interventions profile and financial prof | 2 Marciny Profile | Number and cuality       | No assessment       |   | Initial inventory of mercury                          | • Ministry of                           | <ul> <li>Assumption: Government agencies will</li> </ul>                   |  |
| activities that will date with regard to make the contribute to the contribute the contribute to the contribute the contributions the contribute the cont | cury Initial      | of Initial assessment    | conducted to        |   | sources completed                                     | Environment reports                     | provide access to priority data and  |  |
| contribute to to Mercury implications, product substitution, technology transfer, inventories remediation costs and financial non priority action and financial resources for interventions of economic implications of mercury profile and submitted non priority action and financial resources for interventions of interventions or interventions intervention interven | ent Report        | activities that will     | date with regard    | - | Consultations on socio-economic                       | to GEF/Minamata                         | analysis.  |  |
| issues, substitution, technology transfer, inventories remediation costs and financial resources for interventions of stacken on priority action and financial resources for interventions inventories remediation costs and financial resources for interventions inventories | pa                | contribute to            | to Mercury          |   | implications, product                                 | convention                              | Accumution: Government and non-  |  |
| inventories remediation costs and financial  No national resources for interventions of economic implications of exposure to mercury profile and socion priority action and financial resources for interventions  I continue to mercury profile and Assessment resources for interventions resources for interventions  I constituted resources for interventions resources for interventions resources for interventions  I constitute and financial resources for interventions resources f |                   | Mercury assessment       | issues,             |   | substitution, technology transfer,                    | Secretariat                             |  |  |
| No national resources for intervention held mercury profile and Assessment and socioand socioand socioand socioand socioand stakeholders, and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports stakeholders, and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports stakeholders, and submitted and submitted and stakeholders, and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports and submitted and stakeholders, and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports and submitted and reviewed by agendas/reports and submitted and stakeholders, and submitted and submit |                   |                          | inventories         |   | remediation costs and financial                       | Tochoical conducts                      | soverimental project parties will be                                       |  |
| Mercury profile  |                   |                          | No national         |   | resources for intervention held                       | - reciliical reports                    | actively eligaged ill tile project   |  |
| and socio- economic implications of exposure to mercury prepared No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions  |                   |                          | Mercury profile     |   | Mercury profile and Assessment                        | <ul><li>Workshop</li></ul>              |  |  |
| economic stakeholders, and submitted implications of exposure to mercury prepared No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions   |                   |                          | and socio-          |   | report drafted and reviewed by                        | agendas/reports                         |  |  |
| implications of exposure to mercury prepared No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions  |                   |                          | economic            |   | stakeholders, and submitted                           | and the state of Paragraph              |  |  |
| exposure to mercury prepared No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions  |                   |                          | implications of     |   |   | Ministry of Foreign     Affaire         |  |  |
| mercury prepared No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions  |                   |                          | exposure to         |   |   | Alidits                                 |  |  |
| prepared  No consultation on priority action and financial resources for interventions   |                   |                          | mercury             |   |   | reports/communiqu                       |  |  |
|  |                   |                          | prepared            |   |   | e to oiv depositary                     |  |  |
| on priority action and financial resources for interventions   |                   | annium i to wateringst   | No consultation     |   |   |   |  |  |
| and financial resources for interventions  |                   |                          | on priority action  |   |   |   |  |  |
| resources for interventions  |                   |                          | and financial       |   |   |   |  |  |
| interventions  |                   |                          | resources for       |   |   |   |  |  |
|  |                   | hartemental streetle     | interventions       |   |   |   |  |  |
| taken place  |                   | 81.8                     | taken place         |   |   |   |  |  |

# 4. TOTAL BUDGET AND WORKPLAN

| Award ID:                               | 00089215                            | Project<br>ID(s): | 00095563 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Award Title:                            | Albania Minamata Initial Assessment |                   |          |
| Business Unit:                          | ALB                                 |                   |          |
| Project Title:                          | Albania Minamata Initial Assessment |                   |          |
| PIMS no.5639                            | Historia Iva                        |                   |          |
| Implementing Partner (Executing Agency) | Ministry of Environment             |                   |          |

| #                                     |                          | 1                 |        |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        |                                     |                                  |                                       |  |                                 |                   |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Budget #                              | -                        | 2                 | n      | 4                             | 5                      | ij                | 9                         | 7                 | ∞      | 6                                   | 10                               | =                                     | 12   | 13                              |                   |
| TOTAL                                 | 14,000                   | 24,000            | 4,000  | 3,000                         | 6,819                  | 51,819            | 14,000                    | 36,000            | 9,500  | 35,419                              | 1,700                            | 25,200                                | 3,181  | 5,000                           | 130,000           |
| Amount<br>YEAR<br>4 (USD)             |                          |                   |        |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        |                                     |                                  |                                       |  |                                 |                   |
| Amount<br>YEAR<br>3 (USD)             |                          |                   |        |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        |                                     |                                  |                                       |  |                                 |                   |
| Amount<br>YEAR<br>2 (USD)             | 5,000                    | 10,000            | 2,000  | 3,000                         | 3,819                  | 23,819            | 12,000                    | 18,000            | 5,000  | 21,519                              | 1,000                            | 12,600                                | 1,181  | 3,000                           | 74,300            |
| Amount<br>YEAR<br>1 (USD)             | 000,6                    | 14,000            | 2,000  | 0                             | 3,000                  | 28,000            | 2,000                     | 18,000            | 4,500  | 13,900                              | 700                              | 12,600                                | 2,000  | 2,000                           | 55,700            |
| ATLAS Budget Description              | International Consultant | Local Consultants | Travel | Professional Services (Audit) | Miscellaneous expenses | TOTAL COMPONENT 1 | International Consultants | Local Consultants | Travel | Contractual Services -<br>Companies | Communic & Audio Visual<br>Equip | Contractual services -<br>Individuals | Miscellaneous expenses   | Audio visual and printing prod. | TOTAL COMPONENT 2 |
| ATLAS<br>Budget<br>Code               | 71200                    | 71300             | 71600  | 74100                         | 74500                  |                   | 71200                     | 71300             | 71600  | 72100                               | 72400                            | 71400                                 | 74500  | 74200                           |                   |
| Donor                                 | GEF                      |                   |        |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        | Ç                                   | Ja o                             |                                       |  |                                 |                   |
| Fund                                  |                          |                   | 62000  |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        | 00000                               | 07000                            |                                       |  |                                 |                   |
| Responsible Party/ Implementing Agent |                          | 174               | MoE    |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        | Mar                                 | MOE                              |                                       | The same of the sa |                                 |                   |
| GEF<br>Outcome/<br>Atlas<br>Activity  |                          |                   | -      |                               |                        |                   |                           |                   |        |                                     | п                                |                                       |  |                                 |                   |